Microcomputer MRP In Three Months: Photon Kinetics

by Wayne Wakeland, CPIM*

“...If we can just resolve these last three shortages and expedite the parts that are out being painted, I think we'll be able to make this week's shipment! I haven't had time to worry about next week, yet, but I don't think it looks too bad.” Sound familiar? That's how it was, week after week, before Photon Kinetics installed an MRP system.

Photon Kinetics is a small, privately-held manufacturer of fiber optics test equipment, located in Beaverton, Oregon. About nine months prior to implementing MRP, the company had begun the process of bringing into production a major new product line. Several experienced assemblers and technicians had been hired, as well as two buyers and an inventory control person.

Parts were purchased in “batches” from a master parts list according to an informal production plan. A handwritten bill of material had been prepared, showing the various sub-assemblies. All parts had been assigned part numbers; stock shelves and bins had been set up. Kits were pulled using the handwritten bills of material. Scheduling was done manually by the production manager, based on the informal production plan.

The product contained approximately 900 parts, including 60 subassemblies, and a total of 2,300 bill of material links. There were about 800 open purchase order line items. Leadtimes for purchased parts varied from a few weeks to six months. At any given time, there were approximately 30 jobs in process out on the production floor.

Purchasing spent virtually all of its time expediting and trying to find parts needed, yesterday. The production manager made almost daily trips to various vendors rounding up shortage material. Scheduling jobs out on the floor and deciding what to start next was almost impossible. As one might expect—output was way behind schedule.

The company was able to solve most of its manufacturing problems in just a few months. Not only did they get back on schedule, they also brought their inventories under control and were able to establish and maintain valid priorities in Production and Purchasing. The solution was to install a microcomputer-based MRP system.

Implementing Solutions

The hardware used to implement the system was an IBM PC/XT with 320K RAM and a 10MB hard disk. The software, purchased from Eastmann Microsystems in West Bountiful, Utah, was designed for the micro and came with the following modules:

- Item master and stock status
- Bills of material
- Master schedule
- MRP
- Capacity planning
- Shop floor control and pick list
- Purchase order control
- Costing

Each of these modules is menu-driven using function keys. New users could be trained in a matter of weeks, and reports displayed on the screen or printed. The MRP run regenerates requirements for all parts, and MRP exception reports may be printed in their entirety or by individual part numbers or message-types.

Implementation started on the day the software arrived. It took about an hour to load the programs into the computer. Data entry began that same day.
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WEEK 1:
- Enter part numbers and leadtimes.
- Put "stock status" cards in each stock bin.
- Develop list of "floorstock items."

WEEK 2:
- Enter bills of material for manufactured parts (exclude floorstock from bills).
- Enter open purchase order items.

WEEK 3:
- Enter on-hand balances and shortages to production.

WEEK 4:
- Enter work-in-process.
- Enter planning bills and master schedule.
- Enter spares forecast and safety stock.
- Run MRP for the first time.

WEEK 5:
- Respond to action messages, fix problems, etc.
- Start pulling kits using system.

WEEK 6:
- Document procedures as activities are carried out.
- Set up "two-bin" system for replenishing floorstock (using the MRP system).

WEEK 7:
- Begin cycle-counting daily.
- Begin auditing bills, PO's, WIP, MPS regularly.

Most of these activities were done by inventory control with help from a temporary employee hired to enter data, count stock, etc. Production provided the information on floorstock, shortages, and work-in-process.

Inventory control also loaded the purchasing data because purchasing was too busy expediting to help much with the implementation.

The planning bills, master schedule, spares forecast, and safety stock were prepared and loaded by the master scheduler. In order to master schedule effectively, a two-level approach was employed. Final assembly bills were used for the first four months, and modular planning bills were used for the time period from five to 14 months in the future. A total of 50 master schedule entries were required.

Having Valid Priorities

The main benefit of installing the MRP system was the establishment of valid priorities—both in Production and Purchasing. By having valid priorities, shortages were reduced within two months from dozens of parts per week to only one or two parts per week. The percentage of past due work orders dropped from 70 percent to 15 percent.

Inventory record accuracy improved from 75 percent when cycle-counting began, to 96 percent two months later. Initial audits of the accuracy of the bills of material, work-in-process, open purchase orders, and the master schedule showed them all to be 95-100 percent accurate.

Approximately 30 percent of the purchased parts are triggered for review each week by MRP. Many of these are either "excess" or "order-early" conditions that are minor and can be ignored unless the part is very expensive. The number of parts that require purchasing attention in a given week has been averaging 100 to 200 parts. For most of these parts, purchasing has time to place orders at the vendor's stated leadtime. However, there are still a number of "surprise" parts that look fine one week, but need attention the next. Sometimes the cause of the surprise is a rejected part. Sometimes it is due to human error. The goal, of course, is to minimize errors and maximize vendor quality.

The company recently put a second major new product...
lute into production on a very tight time schedule, using the MRP system to control purchasing and scheduling. About 800 new parts were added, as well as 1,800 additional bill of material links, 100 new MPS entries, 600 additional open purchase order line items, and 30 additional work orders in process. MRP regeneration time doubled. The new product introduction went extremely well. One of the reasons for this success was the use of the MRP system to control priorities from the very beginning.

In order to improve access to the system, the company installed a four-station, local-area network. The performance of the network is excellent: Each user "appears" to have his own dedicated system.

One unexpected benefit of the network is that MRP regeneration time has been cut in half (back to 35 minutes). Also, spooling of long reports has increased the percentage of time that workstations are available for data entry and research.
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**INVENTORY MANAGEMENT IS WITHIN YOUR GRASP**

**$ INCREASED PROFITS**

- Multi-user Technology
- User-Designed Data Base
- Non-Programmer Oriented
- Customization Available
- Software Beginning at $1,995 or
- Turn-key Systems starting under $10,000 (including computer, 2 or more terminals, printer, and software)
- Featuring Inventory Control, MRP, Customer Order Entry, and Accounting

Sophisticated Inventory Management Tools Are No Longer Limited To Large Corporations.
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**JUST-IN-TIME TO ZERO YOUR INVENTORY**

Every manufacturer’s dream is to do away with their stockroom — or at least minimize inventory without running out of materials.

To do this effectively, it’s necessary to utilize an integrated business software system. DMACS™ is the answer!

DMACS (Distribution, Manufacturing, Accounting, Costing and Simulation) is an on-line, real-time integrated distribution/manufacturing business planning system designed to enable management to control functional areas within their company.

Based on known and/or forecasted demand, DMACS will schedule factory activities — cost out products, generate materials cost forecasts and schedule products for shipment according to customer required delivery schedules.

During MRP or costing runs, DMACS permits full inquire capability. And when most other systems are not flexible, DMACS is multi-company (up to 9,999), multi-division, multi-plant, multi-stockroom, multi-distribution centers with system options on a per plant basis.

The system automatically stores audit trails by date and time providing on-line access on demand to daily transactions flowing through the system. It also provides net change costing, routing effectiveness and nettable/non-nettable stockroom and stockroom locations are but a few of the key features.

In addition to supporting the manufacturer, On-Line Software Labs, Inc. helps when you need it whether it’s with technical support or with on-line, remote diagnostics.

Performance wise, efficiency of the DMACS software is as important as the software capabilities themselves. The software is tuned for speed — and isn’t that what you want?

For more information or a demonstration of DMACS, please contact On-Line Software Labs, Inc., 1220 W. Pioneer Street, Brea, California 92821
(714) 660-0502
DMACS™ is a trademark of On-Line Software Labs, Inc.
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